IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ELIPE DHARMA RAO

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 17847 of 2005

Between:

Ponnuswamy Naidu, S/o.Late Govinda Reddy,
R/o.Thimambapuram Village, Puttur Mandal, Chittoor

district.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The District Collector, Chittoor district.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer Chittoor.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Puttur Mandal, Chittoor district.
4 The Superintendent of Police, Chittoor district, Chittoor.
5 The Station House Officer, Puttur Station, Puttur, Chittoor district.

.....RESPONDENTS

ORDER:

Seeking a Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondent in not including the lands in Survey No. 259/4, 259/11, 250/10 and 250/17 total admeasuring Ac.0.75 cents , situated in Pillaripattu village accounts, Puttur Mandal, Chittoor District, the present writ petition is filed.

It is the case of the petitioner that the lands mentioned in the writ petition along with other lands were fallen towards his share in an oral partition between him and one Buska Reddy and others. It is further stated that when he was tried to obtain the possession of the said lands the said Buska Reddy and others restrained him. On that he filed a civil suit in O.S No. 307 of 1998 against the said Buska Reddy and others on the file of Principal Junior Civil Judge, Puttur for permanent injunction and the said suit was decreed on 09.10.2002. The said Buska Reddy and others preferred an appeal against the said Judgment and Decree and the said appeal was also dismissed. Later on the said Buska Reddy and others, while trying to obstruct his interference in to the lands in question on the pretest that the lands in question were not mutated in the pattadar pass book and title deed of the petitioner, the petitioner made a representation to the respondents on 27.06.2005 requesting to

include the said land in his pattadar pass book. But the respondents have not taken any steps in mutating the lands in his pattadar pass book, hence, this writ petition.

Heard learned counsel on either side.

Admittedly, the representation of the petitioner, dated 20.07.2005 is pending consideration before the respondents and the respondents have to take appropriate steps thereon in accordance with law.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition at the stage of admission with a direction to the respondents to consider the re-presentation of the petitioner dated 20.07.2005 and pass appropriate orders thereon, after giving an opportunity to the petitioner within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

With the above directions the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

12-08-2005

kvrm